

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

STEPHANIE GORDON,
Plaintiff(s),
v.
STOR UR STUFF, et al.,
Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:20-cv-00139-APG-NJK

ORDER

[Docket No. 12]

On May 8, 2020, this case was dismissed and closed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Docket Nos. 9-10. The Court indicated that any efforts by Plaintiff to obtain relief on her underlying claims should be conducted in state court. *See, e.g.*, Docket No. 9 at 1.

On August 25, 2020, Plaintiff filed a motion to compel production of documents. *See* Docket No. 12 at 2 (“I am requesting the court to demand Stuff UR Storage provide the documentation for the unit”).¹ As this case has been closed, it is not a proper forum for Plaintiff to demand document production.

Accordingly, the motion to compel is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 14, 2020



Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ As Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, the Court construes her arguments liberally. *See, e.g.*, *Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007).